

The 8 MAJOR POINTS of the Fundamental Bias, Falsehoods & Inadequacies of Ordinary Materialistic Science

ISSUE NUMBER 1 – It is Based on a Biased, False & Inadequate Epistemology.

Ordinary Materialistic Science –with Physics as its basis– has been applying an Epistemology that is biased, false and inadequate.

The reason why is because it is **100% based on the Epistemology of Immanuel Kant**, which has **Two Fundamentally Biased and Unproven Presuppositions:**

The 1st Presupposition says: “We need other means of gaining knowledge *besides Experience*”.

The 2nd Presupposition says: “All knowledge gained through *Experience* is only approximately valid”.

But Kant does NOT prove either statement, and simply assumes it as philosophical dogma and only inquires under what conditions they are valid.

Before having investigated anything -including experience- so prior to any knowledge and without providing any proof, you cannot simply exclude and limit experience off the bat in any way whatsoever! You need to investigate it first!

These Two Biased and False Presuppositions also show a fear and distrust of human observations and experience, and even some hostility. By embracing this approach has Ordinary Materialistic Science come up with its scientific research set-up of “Falsification” (see Issue No. 3) instead of the more logic approach of “Verification”.

ISSUE NUMBER 2 – It assumes that “ONLY the Intellect and our 5 Physical Senses” are “the Only means” for us by which we can generate “scientific knowledge”.

Issue Number 2 regards that Ordinary Materialistic Science assumes that the application of “Only the Intellect” as the way to think is *the* Way to obtain Truthful Knowledge.

But as shown earlier the Intellect is in fact a tool of Observation, Discernment and Investigation ONLY usable to gather data and information, single out, isolate, siphon off up to the smallest distinct element, discern, identify facts and data, conceptualize, map out, decipher and define a First Observation and Analysis **and not to draw Definitive Final Overall Conclusions with it.**

It nevertheless does so by generating its rationales and hypotheses of its analysis of “phenomena”. But it’s very far-fetched to simply label those as Truthful Knowledge, which it obviously is not.

Since the Intellect is geared to the physical world though “**Our Five Physical Senses**”, it only uses those as its means of observation.

Thus, the Labyrinth is further solidified that adheres ONLY to a Sheer Materialistic Worldview.

So this Issue No. 2 is a logic consequence of the Two Biased & False Presuppositions of No. 1.

Scientists have thus also locked themselves into the “**Straight Jacket of Only-Ego, Intellect and Five Physical Senses**”.

Thus by assuming that Intellectual thinking is *the Only Way* how thinking can proceed and that it is the ultimate and Only tool that will provide us with Truthful Knowledge, thinking is boxed into a **Labyrinth that consists of Only the Physical World.**

And so it is understandable it also assumes it is justified that its thinking can only consist of thoughts that correspond with a Sheer Materialistic Worldview, which only assumes the phenomena it perceives with the Five Physical Senses to be actually real.

However, applying only the intellectual way of thinking gets us in a stratum of being where it does not reach down to the actual realities and whereby out thinking only remains superficial.

In this manner one can prove something quite strictly, and just as easy also prove its opposite. Like this it is possible today to “prove” spiritualism on the one hand and materialism on the other.

As stated earlier, the Intellect is a master at what it can do and we definitely require the Intellect as a tool to grasp the physical phenomena surrounding us in the Physical World.

We must master the skills of the Intellect to find our way in the physical world and bring a pragmatic order into our lives.

However, this “Intellect plus Only-physical senses and physical world directed pragmatism” has resulted in the fundamental method of scientific research which has all our focus, efforts and Worldview geared towards ONLY “**Quantifying phenomena**”, viz. to “**Measure, Count and Weigh**” it. Another fundamental flaw covered in No. 3.

ISSUE NUMBER 3 – It ONLY applies “Quantifications” and “Falsifications” for conducting Research, thereby limiting its Research and enabling Random Assumptions, Doctoring and Manipulation.

So equipped with the “Fundamentals of a Biased and False Epistemology” (No. 1) and a “Straight-Jacket of Only-Ego, Intellect and the Five Physical Senses” and a thereupon based and presumed Sheer Materialistic Worldview” (No. 2), Ordinary Materialistic Science has subsequently produced its Biased, False & Inadequate Scientific Research Set-up of Quantification & Falsification:

1. The researcher takes a detached position from the Phenomenon in **order to obtain “objectivity”** and a minimized connection with the phenomenon (a “**false and presupposed objectivity**” because it is based on No. 1 that dictates to exclude experience.

This ensures to the greatest extent that the feelings of the researcher (Qualities) are minimized in order to exclude the experience of anything related to his soul and/or spirit.

it is crucial to outline here that **our heart is the throne of our feelings and “con-science”** by which we can tell if something is wrong or right, true or not. So by placing “feelings and our conscience” (viz. fundamental parts of human experience) in an off-side straight-jacketed clinical position there is no way of telling if a thought or a scientific conclusion about a phenomenon is really true or not.

2. Then the researcher uses his Intellect to formulate his/her own (viz. randomly rationalized) hypothesis as well as the “Boundaries of Possibility” for the phenomenon to occur in;

3. An experimental design (laboratories etc.) is created (so instead of the “actual experience”) to run more tests whereby it takes the phenomenon out of its context, logical meaning and reason for it to be there (***raison d'être***), and thus it can only show partialities.

And because its methodology only pursues to explain the phenomenon with the intellect and out of the 5 physical senses (No. 2) the actual logical meaning and reason for the phenomenon to be there is disregarded and thus lost.

To supposedly guarantee an objective set-up for conducting its experiments for arriving at its conclusions it uses machines and measuring-instruments. Besides that the phenomenon is taken out of its context (is not a machine), is simply disregarded, dismissed and never mentioned that these experimental set-ups are surrounded by and exposed to flaws as well because they are still operated by people, who are all non-objective and have their flaws and subjective ways of doing.

This sets the stage wherein the researcher is working with the detached isolated phenomenon in this soulless deadish clinical set-up causing the phenomenon to die off into an abstract barren concept in which its workings are imprisoned in a hypothetical virtual-reality environment. All because of the overruling drive of “pragmatism”.

4. Then all occurring gathered data is squeezed into Quantifications;

5. Those Quantifications are subjected to the Statistical Test of Science (the “Measure, Count and Weigh”) to “demonstrate” they indeed fall within that hypothesis in order to check if they would comply with the presumed and required “Objective Scientific Standard”;

6. As long as the hypothesis is Not Falsified (meaning “**It is Not Not-True**”) **by anybody or by anything**, that Hypothesis is simply considered to be true and “earns” the “Label of being Science”.

In case any social and psychological phenomena occur in the form of “qualities” those discrepancies are bridged by simply forcing and squeezing them into that same “number ratio approach” of science –i.e. Quantified– so they can comply with its “scientific standard of objectivity”.

So, a researcher can draw up any kind of hypotheses (incl. [future] projections) and “Boundaries of Possibility”. The researcher can also “*adjust*” those as much as he/she wants to. This opens the door very wide to enabling random assumptions, doctoring and manipulation.

This issue with allowing this hypothetical convenience sake approach of “**we assume it is so, as long as the contrary has not shown itself**” is that scientists can simply take the position that their assumptions and rationale are practically always correct, and attributes to itself that it is fully scientifically legit to take the position that all its assumptions and rationales are correct.

So the issue with this is that: Who is actually allowed into the scientific arena to contest or show that such assumptions are actually NOT-True, let alone the entire foundation of Ordinary Materialistic Science for gaining knowledge?

Thus, this No. 3 is especially tricky and treacherous we’ve seen an avalanche of concocted projections with random numbers in the entire Climate Change narrative and recently (2020) with Covid-19.

ISSUE NUMBER 4 – It Assumes those “proven” (not-Falsified) Hypothesis (No. 3) can Simply All be Projected onto Reality, resulting into a Mere Materialistic and Random Paradigm of Life, Reality & Humanity.

Thus, the applied Biased and False Epistemology and Research Set-up (No. 1), The “Straight-Jacket of Only-Ego, Intellect and Five Physical Senses” and its Sheer Materialistic Worldview (No. 2), and the Random Rationalized Hypothesis solely based on Quantifications (No. 3) are therefore automatically based on a total limitation in their scope to only the Physical World, making it One-Sided, Limited and Superficial.

The self-imposed Biased and False Exclusion of Experience by Kant (No. 1) results in that thinking is surrendered to working with the materialistic, rational and abstracted manner of “Only the Intellect”.

Thus, it has generated concepts, rationales and theories by the quadrillions, but the World remains foreign in the eyes of Ordinary Materialistic Science and still does not makes any sense to them at all.

Life to Ordinary Materialistic Science all seems kind of random, a mere coincidence and actually pointless.

By this limited scope of the Physical World Only a Worldview is abstracted that is also only related to the Contemporary Behavior of Matter and the therein working materialistic forces.

In its set-up to gain “cognition” it only describes the physical consequences of the phenomenon it researches and then does so with its own prejudiced pre-determined materialistic glasses on that there is only matter. Whether it is anti-matter or dark matter, it is all still labeled as matter, and such labelling is also a clear indication of the limit of their imagination/ of the intellect they apply.

The fundamental presupposition “that there is only matter’ is then subsequently projected on all of reality, causing a non-stop avalanche of one hypothetical assumption built upon the next.

It also shows that Ordinary Materialistic Science has no clue what actually sets the physical phenomena in motion that it is investigating or for what reason they were initiated because it does not even investigate that.

And as partially observed physical phenomena are projected on other realms of reality, like that of the speed of light when it falls within matter, it is then also projected on Space in the Universe. The fundamentals of Ordinary Materialistic Science therefore likewise omit –and thus cannot arrive at– any logic, sensible, consistent, nor any truthful, coherent Perspective of Time.

ISSUE NUMBER 5 – It Ignores, Denies and Omits “Qualities”, incl. of our Soul, Spirit and the Spiritual World.

What is outlined previously in Numbers 1-4 shows it ignores, denies and omits **Qualities**. Thus, it has also completely ignored & denied beforehand the Existence and Nature of our Soul, of our Spirit and of the possibility of a Spiritual World.

This also generates a complete lack of a clear and objective connection of the Soul and Spirit of the “scientific researcher” him/herself with the phenomena he/she is investigating, **because a real and actual experience of the researcher is not allowed, nor deemed “scientifically” relevant.**

A “scientific researcher” is thus actually not able at all to exert and apply his/her own sense of and feeling for truth, reason, logic, sense of morality, or intuition, regarding the researched phenomena.

Obviously the ignoring and denial is caused by its Set-up of a Sheer Materialistic Worldview, its Biased Methodology, the Only-Ego, Intellect-Five Physical Senses, allegedly because they cannot “see” the Soul and the Spirit.

Why then do they accept that we “think”, while our thoughts are not visible either? And why not also accept our feelings? We can’t see those either, but nobody will deny they have them.

Since Science does not accept and attribute the same degree of reality to our feelings, our Soul and our Spirit (**viz. to Experience**) it is therefore limited, superficial and lacks the full fledge and coherent integration of all realities, viz. Quantities **and** Qualities occurring on all Levels, viz. on the Physical, Soul and Spiritual levels.

ISSUE NUMBER 6 – It has not provided Any Answers that would Unambiguously solve the Mysteries & Meaning of Life.

As outlined in the Issues Numbers 1-5 Ordinary Materialistic Science unjustifiably presupposes it can simply ignore, deny and omit an Existence of the Spiritual World, and of the Human Soul & Spirit.

However, it still has not produced any Definite Unambiguous Fully Coherent and Truthful answers nor any Explanation or Ideas regarding the FIVE most fundamental questions of Life:

1. HOW did Life come about? (There are even two contradicting big bang-theories and both still cannot tell who or what started it, so just more off the bat assumptions);
2. WHERE do Humans come from and HOW did we come about? (They say we come from the monkeys, but why are there no more monkeys becoming human then?)
3. WHY are WE here and WHAT is the Meaning of Life?
4. HOW does our Soul, our Spirit, Spirituality and Religion fit into Human's Existence?
5. Is there Life after Death? If no, how do you know? If yes, what is it like?

ISSUE NUMBER 7 – It's ONLY a "Self-Sustaining Belief System".

So, Science actually confronts us with this:

It has a Biased and False Epistemology and Research Set-up, and with those fundamentals, its Only-Intellect & Five Physical Senses geared data-collection, doctored projections and all of its subsequent rationalized hypothesized results it then simply labels as "science", while having ignored, denied and omitted the Qualities of human experience, viz. those of our soul and spirit. And so it advocates to Humanity its Materialistic Worldview and Paradigm as knowledge.

This obviously can only but lead to a limited, distorted, abstract and Untrue Worldview, View of Life, of Reality and of Humanity. Thus, Ordinary Materialistic Science cannot be "Logic", "Full Fledge", "Coherent", "Truthful" and it "Totally Lacks Any Sense and Reason".

To a certain degree it consists of a **form of Cognition**, and **so its results can be applied in a pragmatic manner**, in physical **technologies and applications** (cars, planes, dryers, etc.). Those are definitely useful and to some extent needed, but that does not change that it is Cognition and NOT Knowledge at all!

So in and by itself, it is still **Only a Mere Self-Sustaining Belief System**, a limited conviction and view, viz. a Labyrinth that consists of a rationalized, presupposed, biased and false Materialistic Worldview dictated to humanity as the "truth".

ISSUE NUMBER 8 – Its developed Policies, Prognostications, Projections and Technologies lack Integrated, Coherent, Logic, Moral, Soul and Spiritual Input, Assessments & Verifications.

So, with all of this being it shows we are stuck with these Bias, Falsehoods and Inadequacies of Ordinary Materialistic Science as outlined in Numbers 1-7.

Ordinary Materialistic Science has unleashed its pragmatic paradigm unto the World with a relentless overpowering, dominating and dictating force whereby it has been demanding people's agreement to it. Such positioning and claim is driven by an abuse of the more unconscious belief people have had for ages in the authority of their leaders, whether spiritual leaders, priests, royals, politicians or otherwise.

Obviously this has been causing many problems. Especially in the case wherein political parties, organizations and businesses fully endorse it hand in glove, and then develop and embrace corresponding Theoretical Principles, Theoretical Future Prognostications & Projections and Pragmatic Applications in Political Policies and Technologies.

The Issue is those Policies and Technologies lack the fully integrated and Coherent Assessment of both Quantities and Qualities of Life as a Whole, viz. those of the Physical, Soul and Spiritual levels, and therefore it doesn't allow to determine whether a Policy or Technology will actually be benevolent, responsible, healthy, and will do any good at all for Humanity.

Many malicious consequences are clearly visible all over the World of such Policies and Technologies. We'll come across those further on.

General Conclusions about these EIGHT MAJOR ISSUES:

So it does Not Make Any Sense At All to assume Ordinary Materialistic Science and all it produces as its Cognition, Data, Projections of Future Events, Policies or Technologies, would result in any Unambiguously Clarifying Truthful Statements or Conclusive Conclusions about Life, Humanity, Reality, our Body, Soul & Spirit, Spirituality, Spiritual phenomena or Religion.

Nor does it make any sense at all to have Ordinary Materialistic Science and all it stands for - including its "Only-Ego-Intellect-Five Senses-Straight Jacket"- to make ANY conclusive statements about the Soul, about Spirituality, Spiritual phenomena, Religion.

Nor make any conclusive statement about the reminiscents (buildings, structures, temples, art) located throughout the World that seem to have a spiritual purpose for being built.

How could all of their conclusions, explanations and systems in any way reflect any truth since they're not investigating Life as a Whole to begin with?

From a pragmatic perspective it can be understood that **People** tend to completely shape their Worldview, their thinking, their personal life to be ONLY and FULLY in sync with all of what Ordinary Materialistic Science dictates, and that they do that in a blind-trusting, sheep-like, fanatic and narrow minded way.

And that they then fully embrace to use all of their "Pragmatic COGNITION/ DATA BASED PREMATURE and LIMITED so-called scientific CONCLUSIONS and ALL THEIR TECHNOLOGIES based on that which are made available to them by the Ordinary Materialistic Scientific community.

But that doesn't take away from the fact that **overall they're still fooled by it, led astray and kept in the dark by it.**

The same can thus be understood and said about **Organizations, Businesses, Governments, Nations and Global Organizations** in case they, in a similar hand-in-glove fashion, base all their policies and operations ONLY, FULLY and in a 1-ON-1 WAY on what the Ordinary Materialistic Scientific community and organizations dictates them.

All in all, in case Science is NOT doctored or manipulated and restrains itself from professing it is omnipresent and honestly acknowledges its limitations (the No.'s 1-7), it's outcome in studies and cognition could be Relevant. But even then it will only reflect a partial aspect of Reality, and it should NOT dictate our entire Life, nor the Policies and Technologies we develop.

This outline therefore has shown that the entire phenomenon of Ordinary Materialistic Science **obviously requires fundamental and thorough reconsiderations, adjustments and redefining.**